The court incorporates by reference in this paragraph and adopts as the findings and orders of this court the document set forth below. This document was signed electronically at the time and date indicated, which may be materially different from its entry on the record.



Russ Kendig United States Bankruptcy Judge

Dated: 11:26 AM September 20, 2012

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE:) CHAPTER 7
MELINDA LOUISE ELKINS,) CASE NO. 05-65317
Debtor.) JUDGE RUSS KENDIG
	 MEMORANDUM OF OPINION (NOT INTENDED FOR PUBLICATION)
IN RE:) CHAPTER 7)
) CASE NO. 05-69543
CLARENCE ARNOLD ELKINS, II,)) JUDGE RUSS KENDIG
Debtor.) MEMORANDUM OF OPINION (NOT) INTENDED FOR PUBLICATION))

Now before the Court is the United States' motion for order compelling City of Barberton to produce documents with respect to which it asserts work product immunity or attorney-client privilege ("motion"), filed on March 14, 2012.

The court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334 and the general order of reference entered in this district on April 4, 2012. Venue in this district and division is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409. This proceeding is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

This opinion is not intended for publication or citation. The availability of this opinion, in electronic or printed form, is not the result of a direct submission by the court.

FACTS

On March 14, 2012, the United States filed a motion seeking an order compelling the City of Barberton ("City") to produce documents to which it asserts attorney-client privilege or attorney work product privilege. The United States asserts that it served a subpoena on the City seeking documents that reveal the intent and reasoning of the City, its insurers, and its counsel for payment of a settlement to Debtors. Specifically, the United States seeks to ascertain the portion of the payment allocated to the settlement of tort claims for physical injuries and/or physical sickness and the portion of the payment allocated to the settlement of tort claims, and punitive damages.

On May 17, 2012, the Court entered an order granting the United States' motion for order compelling the City to produce documents and ordered that the City produce all documents claimed as privileged within thirty (30) days from the date of the order for an in camera inspection.

The City produced documents to the Court for an in camera inspection on June 14, 2012 in an electronic format, compact discs, along with a privilege log. On June 21, 2012, the Court entered correspondence to the City which requested the submission in paper format of documents listed on the privilege log that the Court was unable to locate among the documents on the discs provided. On July 2, 2012, the City submitted the requested documents in paper. On July 3, 2012, the City supplemented the documents submitted with three (3) additional documents and a supplemental privilege log.

The City's privilege logs reference 164 documents, which amounted to thousands of pages for the Court to review. More troubling than the sheer volume of documents was the fact that the City almost entirely ignored the Court's May 17, 2012 order. That order provided that the City was to organize each document by a number assigned on the privilege log into binders with tabs. While the City asked for and obtained the approval of the Court to provide the documents in an electronic format, the City just loaded the documents onto discs and let the Court guess which document pertained to which entry on the privilege logs. The privilege logs contained the number of the disc that each document was located. However, in many instances, the Court could not find the document on the indicated disc and, instead, located it on another disc. In addition, some of the documents were duplicated on several discs. The end result was great difficulty for the Court when reviewing these documents.

For purposes of identification, the Court numbered each document included on the privilege logs and attaches the numbered privilege logs as Exhibit A to this Memorandum of Opinion. Throughout this Memorandum of Opinion, the Court identifies each document by the number assigned to it on the privilege logs in Exhibit A.

LAW AND ARGUMENT

Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7037 is applicable to this matter and incorporates Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37. The Court finds that the parties attempted to resolve this dispute before bringing it to the Court in accordance with Local Bankruptcy Rule 7026 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)(1) and 37(a)(1).

I. <u>Attorney-Client Privilege</u>

"It is a general rule that confidential communications between an attorney and his client, made because of the professional relationship and concerning the subject matter of the attorney's employment, are privileged from disclosure, even for the purposes of the administration of justice." <u>United States v. Goldfarb</u>, 328 F.2d 280, 281 (6th Cir. 1964). Attorney-client privilege applies to documents as follows:

Where legal advice of any kind is sought (2) from a professional legal adviser in his capacity as such, (3) the communications relating to the purpose,
 made in confidence (5) by the client, (6) are at his instance permanently protected (7) from disclosure by himself or by the legal adviser, (8) except [where] the protection be waived.

<u>Id.</u>; *accord* <u>In re Classicstar Mare Lease Litig.</u>, No. 5:07-cv-353-JMH, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 49589, at 23-24 (E.D. Ky. Apr. 6, 2012). In addition, the attorney-client privilege "covers records of communications between attorneys and their government clients pertaining to the attorneys' legal advice." <u>The State Ex Rel. Dawson v. Bloom-Carroll Local Sch. Dist.</u>, 131 Ohio St. 3d 10, 15 (Ohio 2011). It includes correspondence that reveals the client's motivation for seeking legal representation, the nature of the services to be provided, strategies for litigation, and other confidential information exchanged during representation. <u>Id.</u>

The City claims attorney-client privilege for fifty-six (56) of the 164 documents.¹ The majority of these documents are litigation plans, status reports, and correspondence regarding settlement, the City's policies, and retention of experts. In addition, the City also claims attorney-client privilege for the transmittal of invoices for payments to experts and other services.

After reviewing these fifty-six (56) documents, the Court finds that all these documents fall under the attorney-client privilege except for the transmittal of invoices for experts and other services rendered. These documents contain information pertaining to the attorneys' legal advice to the City and reveal the City's motivation for seeking legal representation, litigation strategies, and other confidential information.

¹ Nearly all of the documents claimed as privileged by the attorney-client privilege are also claimed privilege under the attorney work product doctrine. The Court reviews these documents for whether attorney-client privilege only applies in this section and will address whether the documents are privileged under the attorney work product doctrine below.

Accordingly, the Court finds that documents 109, 128, 134, 135, 136, 140, 156, 157, and 158 are not subject to the attorney-client privilege and are discoverable by the United States. The remaining documents for which attorney-client privilege is claimed are privileged and are not discoverable by the United States.²

II. Attorney Work Product Doctrine

The work-product doctrine protects an attorney's trial preparation materials from discovery to preserve the integrity of the adversarial process. The work-product doctrine is a procedural rule of federal law [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure] 26(b)(3) protects (1) "documents and tangible things"; (2) "prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial"; (3) "by or for another party or its representative."

In re Professionals Direct Ins. Co., 578 F.2d 432, 438 (6th Cir. 2009) (citing <u>Hickman v. Taylor</u>, 329 U.S. 495, 510-14 (1947)). The protection of Rule 26(b)(3) is limited to one who is a party to the litigation in which discovery is sought. <u>Arkwright Mutual Ins. Co. v. Nat'l Union Fire Ins.</u> Co. of Pittsburgh, PA., No. 93-3084, 1994 U.S. App. LEXIS 3828, at 11-12 (6th Cir. 1994). Thus, "[d]ocuments prepared for one who is not a party to the present suit are wholly unprotected" <u>Id.</u> at 12 (quoting C. Wright & A. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 2024, at 201-2). However, a court may issue a protective order to "protect a ... person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

The City claims the majority of the documents on its privilege logs as privileged by the attorney work product doctrine. The City is not a party to the instant matter for which the discovery is sought. The United States seeks the documents to make a determination about tax liability for the Debtors in these bankruptcy cases. The City was a party in the previous litigation, but is not a party to the instant matters. Thus, the attorney work product doctrine does not apply to the City's documents. Except for the documents found to be privileged under the attorney-client privilege as enumerated above, all of the documents listed on the privilege logs are not privileged under the attorney work product doctrine and, thus, are discoverable by the United States. If appropriate, the City may request that the Court issue a protective order.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the Court finds that, except for the documents deemed to be privileged as enumerated above, the City shall provide the documents on its privilege logs to the United States within fourteen (14) days.

An order will be entered simultaneously with this opinion.

² The following is an all-inclusive list of the documents subject to attorney-client privilege: 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 16, 17, 31, 32, 33, 39, 40, 41, 47, 49, 50, 52, 53, 57, 60, 63, 64, 66, 71, 73, 74, 83, 90, 94, 95, 96, 97, 99, 100, 102, 110, 123, 125, 126, 129, 132, 144, 153, 161, 162, 163, and 164.

#

Service List:

Alan Shapiro Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice Post Office Box 55 Washington, D.C. 20044

John N. Childs Justin M. Alaburda Brennan, Manna & and Diamond 75 East Market Street Akron, OH 44308

 exhibit A	 11/05/2008 To		09/16/2008 To M	09/16/2008 Tc M	09/11/2008	09/11/2008 Ca M		03/14/2008 To		09/14/2007 To	YI	03/06/2007 To	M	N/A Va			DATE
	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	an ann an Anna an Anna Anna Anna Anna A	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R		Carl E. Cormany, Esq., MR&R		Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R		Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	MIKÆK	Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,	Mazanec, Kaskin & Kyder	Various Attorneys at			AUTHOR
	 File		Scottsdale Ins. Co.	Scottsdale Ins. Co.	Notes for file	File		Scottsdale Ins. Co.		Scottsdale Ins. Co.		Scottsdale Ins. Co.		Scottsdale Ins. Co.; file			RECIPIENT(S)
	Memo regarding Dr. Platt		Supplemental status report.	Supplemental Litigation Plan	Talking Points for Status Conference regarding mental health records	Memo regarding Plaintiffs Discovery Requests		Supplemental Litigation Plan		Supplemental Litigation Plan		Litigation Plan	compilations	MR&R research notes,			DESCRIPTION
	 Attorney work product	work product	Attorney-client privilege/attorney	Attorney-client privilege/attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	work product	Attomey-client privilege/attomey	work product	Attorney-client privilege/attorney	work product	Attomey-client		Attorney work	PRODUCTION	NON-	REASON FOR

 $(\gamma$

6

1

1

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins</u>, __.se No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II</u>, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(_______)

-

,×

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 6 of 24

#8

L)

 (\mathcal{W})

0

 \sim

04/02/2009 Todd M MR&R	02/19/2009 Todd N MR&R	02/18/2009 Todd M MR&R	02/18//2009 Donna MR&R	02/16/2009 Parale	01/02/2009 Samar Center	01/02/2009 Matth	12/08/2008 Todd M MR&R	DATE
Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Donna Czerwinski, Paralegal MR&R	Paralegal Dept.	Samaritan Behavioral Health Center	Matthew Imman, M.D.	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	AUTHOR
Scottsdale Ins. Co.	Scottsdale Ins. Co.	Dr. Swales, Expert	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R		Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Eve Green, Paralegal, MR&R	RECIPIENT(S)
Supplemental Litigation Plan	Supplemental status report summarizing medicals.	Letter to Dr. Swales regarding psychological evaluation of Clarence Elkins	Memo regarding summary of Elkins medical records.	Index of Medical Records of Clarence Elkins, Sr.	Confidential Medical Records of Melinda Elkins	Confidential Medical Records of Clarence Elkins	Memo regarding medical providers: Aultman Hospital; Matthew Inman, M.D., Margaret Kessler, Ph.D., Kaplan Consulting & Counseling, Carrolton Medical Management	DESCRIPTION
Attorney-client privilege/attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product.	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION

Ū

-F

Ū,

Ū1

•••••••

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins, (</u>2 No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II</u>, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

۰.

.

R R #

 \overline{O}

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

Doc 152 Page 7 of 24 05-65317-rk FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53

1

;

÷

2 | Page

Attorney work product	Confidential Medical Records of Clarence Elkins	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Aultman Hospital and Alliance Community Svc.	06/03/2009
Attorney work product	Confidential Medical Records of Clarence Elkins	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Kaplan Consulting & Counseling	06/03/2009
Attorney work product	Clarence Elkins, Confidential Medical Records	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Kessler Psychological Services	06/03/2009
Attorney work product	Clarence Elkins Medical Records of 12/23/2008	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Family Practice Center of Louisville	06/03/2009
Attorney work product	Typed notes of summary of Carroll County Alcohol Additction	File	Eve Green, Paralegal, MR&R	05/21/2009
Attorney work product	Memo regarding Elkins' Carroll County Alcohol Program	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Eve Green, Paralegal MR&R	05/21/2009
Attorney work product	Letter enclosing medical records	Dr. Swales, Expert	Eve Green, Paralegal, MR&R	05/20/2009
Attorney work product	Confidential Medical Records of Clarence Elkins	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Carroll County Alcohol & Addiction Program	05/20/2009
Attorney work product	Letter requesting medical records	Caroll County Alcohol Addiction	Eve Green, Paralegal, MR&R	04/24/2009
NON- PRODUCTION				
REASON FOR	DESCRIPTION	RECIPIENT(S)	AUTHOR	DATE

In re Melinda Louise Elkins, (, No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 8 of 24

رل VI 6

3 | P a g e

ىك كر

D

ц О

9

Cor #

 $\overline{\swarrow}$

09/28/	, , , , , ,	08/18/2010	08/10/2010	10/13/2009	06/03/2009	06/03/2009	06/03/2009	06/03/2009	DA
10/11/2010	09/28/2010	2010	2010	2009	2009	2009			DATE
Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,	Julie A. Bickis, Esq., MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	John T. McLandrich, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Carrollton Medical Facility	Matthew Inman Records & Billing	Samaritan Behavioral Health, Inc.	Mercy Medical Center-Billing	AUTHOR
Patrick Stetz, Selective Ins.	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Scottsdale Ins. Co.	Scottsdale Ins. Co.	Scottsdale Ins. Co.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	RECIPIENT(S)
Correspondence regarding	Memo regarding Jury Verdict Research	Supplemental Litigation Plan	Letter regarding 6 th Circuit Ct. of Appeals denying summary judgment	Supplemental Litigation Plan	Confidential Medical Records, including records from Diana Dally, RN	Confidential Medical Records of Clarence Elkins	Confidential Médical Records of Melinda Elkins	Confidential medical bills of Melinda Elkins	DESCRIPTION
Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

In re Melinda Louise Elkins, C. No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

4 Page

SC

<u>h</u>

()) ())

W Y

 \mathfrak{G}

 \overline{m}

P

ŝ 64

10/11/2010Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Patrick Stetz, Selective Ins.Email regard and preparat case.10/25/2010Thomas Glassman, Esq.,Richard Garner, Davis & Young; Todd M. Raskin,Corresponde case.10/25/2010Thomas Glassman, Esq.,Nichard Garner, Davis & Young; Todd M. Raskin,Corresponde settling clair MR&R11/15/2010Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Scottsdale Ins. Co.Suplement11/16/2010Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Scottsdale Ins. Co.Correspond to settlement.11/17/2010Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Scottsdale Ins. Co.Correspond to settlement.11/17/2010Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Scottsdale Ins. Co.Correspond settlement.11/17/2010Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Correspond Scottsdale Ins. Co.Correspond settlement.11/17/2010Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Mr. Swartz of JWF Specialty School of LawCorrespond ediation a discussions11/17/2010Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Carmen Naso, Esq., CWRU School of LawCorrespond discussions11/17/2010Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Dr. Swales, MetroHealth (Defense Expert)Correspond settlement.	2	DATE	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT(S)	DESCRIPTION	
Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RPatrick Stetz, Selective Ins. MR&RThomas Glassman, Esq., Smith Rolfes & Skavdahl. Smith Rolfes & Skavdahl. MR&RRichard Gamer, Davis & Young; Todd M. Raskin, MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RFichard Gamer, Davis & Young; Todd M. Raskin, MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. Scottsdale Ins. Co. Mr. Swartz of JWF Specialty Co/Old National InsuranceTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RCarmen Naso, Esq., CWRU School of LawTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RDr. Swales, MetroHealth (Defense Expert)						
Thomas Glassman, Esq., Smith Rolfes & Skavdahl. MR&RRichard Garner, Davis & Young; Todd M. Raskin, MR&RThomas Glassman, Esq., Smith Rolfes & Skavdahl. MR&RRichard Garner, Davis & Young; Todd M. Raskin, MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. Scottsdale Ins. Co. MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. Scottsdale Ins. Co. Mr. Swartz of JWF Specialty Co/Old National InsuranceTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RCarmen Naso, Esq., CWRU School of LawTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RDr. Swales, MetroHealth (Defense Expert)		10/11/2010	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Patrick Stetz, Selective Ins.	A)	Email regarding settlement Attorney and preparation for trial of product case.
Thomas Glassman, Esq., Smith Rolfes & Skavdahl.Richard Garner, Davis & Young; Todd M. Raskin, MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., 		10/25/2010	Thomas Glassman, Esq., Smith Rolfes & Skavdahl.	Richard Garner, Davis & Young; Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Correspondenc settling claims	Correspondence regarding settling claims.
Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. Scottsdale Ins. Co. MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. Scottsdale Ins. Co. MR&RTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co. Scottsdale Ins. Co. Mr. Swartz of JWF Specialty Co/Old National InsuranceTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RMr. Swartz of JWF Specialty School of LawTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RCarmen Naso, Esq., CWRU School of LawTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RDr. Swales, MetroHealth 		10/25/2010	Thomas Glassman, Esq., Smith Rolfes & Skavdahl.	Richard Garner, Davis & Young; Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Corresponder to settlement.	Correspondence with regard Attorney product
Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co.QTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co.STodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RMr. Swartz of JWF Specialty Co/Old National InsuranceMTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RCarmen Naso, Esq., CWRU School of LawSTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RDr. Swales, MetroHealth (Defense Expert)M		11/15/2010	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Scottsdale Ins. Co.	Suppler	Supplemental Litigation Plan
Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RScottsdale Ins. Co.Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RMr. Swartz of JWF Specialty Co/Old National InsuranceTodd M. Raskin, Esq., 		11/16/2010	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Scottsdale Ins. Co.	Correspone settlement.	Correspondence regarding settlement.
Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RMr. Swartz of JWF Specialty Co/Old National InsuranceTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RCarmen Naso, Esq., CWRU School of LawTodd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&RDr. Swales, MetroHealth (Defense Expert)		11/17/2010	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Scottsdale Ins. Co.	Corresj settlem	Correspondence regarding settlement terms.
Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Carmen Naso, Esq., CWRUMR&RSchool of LawTodd M. Raskin, Esq.,Dr. Swales, MetroHealthMR&R(Defense Expert)		11/17/2010	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Mr. Swartz of JWF Specialty Co/Old National Insurance	Corres media discus	Correspondence regarding mediation and settlement discussions.
Todd M. Raskin, Esq.,Dr. Swales, MetroHealthMR&R(Defense Expert)		11/17/2010	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Carmen Naso, Esq., CWRU School of Law	Corres settler	Correspondence regarding settlement.
		11/17/2010	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Dr. Swales, MetroHealth (Defense Expert)	Correspon settlement	Correspondence regarding settlement.

ζΩ

9

(У)

3

5 Page

ł

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 10 of 24

R #

B

• .

In re Melinda Louise Elkins, (_____; No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

44

.+

5

王

ŝ

•

......

Cr Cr 3

•

į

6 Page

,

<u>(1</u>

5

49

Ę

Ł

贞

£

Å

(

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins, (</u>; No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II</u>, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 11 of 24

7 P a g e	a de la companya de l	and and a second s		
Attorney-client privilege/work product	Email transmitting Plaintiff's settlement demand.	Scottsdale, RLI Corp., Selective, Old National Ins.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	09/20/2010
Attorney work product.	Email regarding insurance policy.	Mary Ann Micklus & Todd M. Raskin	Bob Rosenthal, Selective Insurance	09/17/2010
Attorney work product.	Email requesting additional policies.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Keven Eiber, Brouse McDowell	09/17/2010
Attorney-client privilege/work product	Email transmitting policies.	Lisa Miller, Law Director of City of Barberton	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	9/15/2010
Attorney work product	Email regarding policies and future strategy.	Ms. Eiber, Brouse McDowell	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	09/15/2010
Attorney work product	Email regarding policy with regard to future strategy.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Bob Rosenthal, Selective Insurance	09/15/2010
Attorney-client privilege/work product	Email regarding future strategy regarding settlement	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	09/15/2010
Attorney work product	String of emails regarding additional coverage.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	David Swartz, Old National Ins.	09/10/2010
Attorney work product	String of emails regarding additional coverage.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Elizabeth McLaughlin, RLI Corp.	09/10/2010- 09/14/2010
Attorney work product	Email regarding CAN policy and coverage.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	David Swartz, Old National Ins.	09/12/2010- 09/13/2010
REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION	DESCRIPTION	RECIPIENT(S)	AUTHOR	DATE
	ct Documents Log	City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log	City of Barb	

In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio) In re Melinda Louise Elkins, e No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

.

ß

Ð

6

6

50

(Y) (Y)

5

(J) 4

H

6

₩PR FR

		0				0			•
10/05/2010	09/29/2010	09/29/2010	09/28/2010	09/27/2010	09/24/2010	09/24/2010	09/24/2010	09/20/2010	DATE
Carmen Naso, Expert	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Bob Rosenthal	Carmen Naso, Expert	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	AUTHOR
Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Patrick Stetz & Todd Raskin	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Keven Eiber, Brouse	Carmen Naso, Expert	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Swartz of Old National Ins.	Lisa Miller, Law Director	RECIPIENT(S)
Email requesting information of testimony.	Email transmitting retention letter to Expert Naso.	Email regarding reassignment.	Email requesting Affidavit in regards to strategy.	String of emails regarding RLI's coverage letter.	Email transmitting documents with regard to strategy of case.	Email transmitting status report.	Email transmitting coverage position.	Email transmitting Plaintiff's settlement demand.	DESCRIPTION
Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product.	Attorney work product.	Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney work product-	Attorney-client privilege/work product	REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION

8 Page

i.

_

.

`...**.**

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 13 of 24

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins,</u> e No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II</u>, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

•

٠

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

•

1

E

 ∞

20

640

{

64

		·	0	-	、						_	
	10/12/2010	10/11/2010	10/11/2010	10/11/2010	10/11/2010	10/11/2010	10/11/2010	10/11/2010	10/11/2010	10/05/2010		DATE
	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Betsy from RLI Corp.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R		AUTHOR			
	Carl E. Cormany, MR&R	Patrick Stetz, Selective Insurance	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Naso, Expert	Rothlein, Expert	Tony Monheim, Expert	RLI Corp.	Selective Insurance Co.	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Lisa Miller, City of Barberton		RECIPIENT(S)
	Email requesting Carl to prepare the mediation statement.	Email transmitting letter regarding settlement	Email regarding status of demands.	Email transmitting notice of trial date.	Email transmitting notice of trial date.	Email transmitting notice of trial date.	Email transmitting current status of case.	Email transmitting current status of case.	Email transmitting current status of case.	Email transmitting Plaintiff's new settlement demand, etc.		DESCRIPTION
9 Page	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product		REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION

.

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins,</u> e No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II</u>, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

.

• •

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 14 of 24

	. ثو	<u>_</u>	90	8	20	L3	S) (U V	с С	3	ŧ Q
	10/20/2010	10/19/2010	10/19/2010	10/19/2010	10/19/2010	10/15/2010- 10/16/2010	10/13/2010	10/13/2010	10/12/2010- 10/13/2010	10/12/2010	DATE
	Atty. Rich Garner, Davis & Young	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Patrick Stetz, Selective	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	AUTHOR
	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Atty. Kurt Zitzer	Atty Miller & Eiber	Atty. Thomas Glassman, Smith, Rolfes & Skavdahl	Atty. Richard Gamer, Davis & Young	Carmen Naso, Expert	Rory Dunne, Karbal, Cohen	Keven Eiber, Brouse McDowell	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	RECIPIENT(S)
	Email regarding denial of contribution to settlement.	Email regarding Plaintiff's settlement demand.	Email regarding Rosen research proposal and discussion of settlement	Email regarding Rosen research proposal and settlement.	Email regarding Kosen research proposal.	String of emails regarding conference.	String of emails regarding fee bills.	Email transmitting mediation notices.		Email transmitting letters regarding trial preparation.	 DESCRIPTION
10 Page	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins,</u> e No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II</u>, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 15 of 24

Barberton R&R Keven Eiber, Brose McDowell	Atty Miller City of Todd M. Raskin, City of	10/21/2010 Scottsdale Insurance Todd M. Raskin, MR&R 10/21/2010 Todd M. Raskin, MR&R Atty. Miller, City of Barberton	10/21/2010 Matt Hudak, City of Carl E. Cormany, MR&R Email transmitting Barberton explanation page.	10/20/2010 Patrick Stetz, Selective Todd M. Raskin, MR&R Email transmitting 2 10/20/2010 Patrick Stetz, Selective Todd M. Raskin, MR & Email transmitting	C. DATE AUTHOR RECIPIENT(S) DESCRIPTION
Jowell				Ξ	
		Attorney-client privilege/work product Attorney-client privilege/work	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney work product	REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION

In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio) In re Melinda Louise Elkins, e No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 16 of 24

11 | P a g e

30

<u>р</u>

р С

R

(

• .

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

10	(20		801	107		2	<u> </u>		104	 ((+++	D K ·	
	11/09/2010		11/09/2010	11/08/2010- 11/11/2010		11/05/2010	11/04/2010		11/03/2010		11/03/2010	11/03/2010	11/02/2010		DAIE	777
	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R		Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Adam Rosen, Jury Consultants		Tony Monheim, Expert	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R		Carl E. Cormany, MR&R		Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Patrick Stetz, Selective Insurance	Scottsdale Insurance Co.			AIITHOR
	Atty. Miller; City of Barberton		Scottsdale Insurance	Todd M. Raskin, MK&K		Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Dr. Swales, Expert		Todd M. Raskin, MR&R		Patrick Stetz, Selective	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R			RECIPIENT(S)
	Email regarding mock jury trial.		Transmit invoices	mock jury trial.		Email regarding trial date.	Transmit Kuper's reports.	strategy regarding Elkins.	String of emails transmitting memo and discussion of new	demand.	Email transmitting Plaintiff's "bottom line' settlement	Email regarding "bottom line" settlement demand.	Email authorizing payment for mock jury trial.			DESCRIPTION
product	Attorney-client privilege/work	product	Attorney-client privilege/work	product	A Homey work	Attorney work	Product		Attorney work product		Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney-citeut privilege/work product		NON- PRODUCTION	REASON FOR

...

. . .

.

12 | Page

ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 17 of 24 05-<u>6</u>5317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12

120	119					Ę.				#R
11/11/2010	11/11/2010	11/11/2010	11/10/2010	11/10/2010	11/10/2010	11/09/2010	11/09/2010	11/09/2010	11/09/2010	DATE
Adam Rosen, Jury Consultants	Shawn A. Romer, MR&R	Carl E. Cormany, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Patrick Stetz, Selective	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	AUTHOR
Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Linda Weber, Visual Evidence	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Dr. Swales, Expert	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Dr. Swales, Expert	Atty. Dunne, Karbal, Cohen, Economou, Silk & Dunne	Atty. Glassman, Smith, Rolfes & Skavdahl	Atty. Garner, Davis & Young	Atty. Eiber, Brouse McDowell	RECIPIENT(S)
Request for retainer.	Transmit supplements and miscellaneous in support of demonstrative evidence.	Email regarding time record and subpoena to Plaintiffs.	Email asking if there is a supplemental report.	Email regarding meeting to discuss mediation.	Email transmitting Dr. Kuper's DVD	Email regarding mock jury trial.	Email regarding mock jury trial	Email regarding mock jury trial	Email regarding mock jury trial	DESCRIPTION
Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney Work product	REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION

13 | Page

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 18 of 24

. .

•

. . . .

. ·

۰. .

(

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents L og

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins,</u> e No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II</u>, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

129	861	Le L	126	N	to	50		CQ .	#8
11/16/2010	11/16/2010	11/16/2010	11/15/2010	11/15/2010	11/15/2010	11/15/2010	11/15/2010	11/11/2010	DATE
Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Carl E. Cormany, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	AUTHOR
Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Dr. Swales, Expert	Scottsdale Insurance	Scottsdale Insurance	Adam Rosen, Jury Consultants	Attys Dunne, Eiber, Garner, Miller; Scottsdale Ins.; Hudak (City of Barberton)	Atty Glassman/Atty Dunne	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	RECIPIENT(S)
Transmit settlement of case.	Transmit expert invoices.	Email depo transcripts	Email supplemental Litigation Development Report	Email deposition summaries of experts	Email regarding Elkins timeline and criminal investigation.	Email regarding increased settlement demand from Plaintiff and strategy going forward.	String of emails regarding site of mock jury trial.	Transmit letter regarding retainer check	DESCRIPTION
Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney-cuent privilege/work product	Attorney work product.	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION

14 | P a g e

.

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins,</u> e No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II</u>, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

11/23/2010 Atty Glassman, Smith, Rolfes & Skavdahl	11/22/2010 Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	11/19/2010 Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	11/19/2010 Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	11/19/2010 Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	11/18/2010 Atty. Gamer, Davis & Young	11/17/2010 Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	11/17/2010 Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	11/17/2010 Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	DATE	
Smith, Rolfes	, MR&R									קנ
Todd M. Raskin, Esq.	Charlie Snyder, Ohio Atty. General's Office	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Atty. Swartz, Old National Insurance.	Expert Rothlein, Swales, Monheim and Naso		KECIFIEIVI (D)
Letter regarding mediation	Transmit letter;regarding confirmed settlement.	Transmit Visual Evidence invoice.	Transmit Dr. Kuper's invoice	Transmit Dr. Swales invoice.	Email regarding finalized settlement agreement.	Transmit Order dismissing case and settlement terms.	Transmit Order dismissing case.	Transmit settlement of case.		
product	Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney-chent privilege/work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	NON- PRODUCTION	ATO AT

15 | Page

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 20 of 24

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins,</u> *se* No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II</u>, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

PS IS IS

138

33

W

 $\overline{0}$

С Ю #8

	レレ	うち	145	ちた	143	47	141	040	2	# 2
	01/07/2011	01/05/2011	01/04/2011	12/27/2010	12/22/2010	12/16/2010	12/15/2010	12/03/2010	11/29/2010	DATE
	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Atty Glassman, Smith, Rolfes	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Keven Eiber, Brouse McDowell	Patrick Stetz, Selective	Atty. Glassman, Smith, Rolfes	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	City of Barberton	AUTHOR
	Patrick Stetz, Selective; David Swartz, Old National Insurance; Scottsdale Insurance; Richard Garner, Davis & Young	City of Barberton	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Scottsdale Insurance	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Scottsdale Insurance	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	RECIPIENT(S)
(1) A set the set of the set o	Email transmitting Elkins Order signed on Compromise, Loevy W-9; and correspondence explaining same	Draft Comprehensive Release of All Claims & Demands	Email discussing language in settlement agreement.	Email status update on settlement	Policyholder's Release & Assignment	Email structure vendor information.	Transmit letter and proposed assignment.	Transmit Naso's W-9	Barberton Municipal Court documents	DESCRIPTION
16 Page	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/work product	Attorney work product	REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION

(

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

#8

040

PW

16 | Page

	DATE	AUTHOR	RECIPIENT(S)	DESCRIPTION
,				
	01/06/2011	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	City of Barberton	Draft Comprehensive Release
	01/07/2011	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	City of Barberton	Draft Comprehensive Release
	01/11/2011	David Swartz, Old National Ins.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Email regarding settlement draft.
	01/12/2011	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Patrick Stetz, Selective Ins.	Email regarding re-order checks
	01/13/2011	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Stetz, Selective Insurance	String of emails regarding W-9s and request for socials
	01/18/2011	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Atty. Miller, City of Barberton	Email transmitting Order dismissing case.
	01/18/2011- 01/19/2011	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	David Swartz, Old national Ins.	String of emails regarding settlement draft.
	01/24/2011	Patrick Stetz, Selective Ins.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Email regarding special check handling
	01/27/2011	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Email copy of computer generated check.
	02/15/2011	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Scottsdale Insurance Co.	Email transmitting Dr. Kuper's invoice

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins</u>, __.se No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II</u>, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)

(

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log

Ŭ

;

17 | P a g e

05-65317-rk Doc 152 FILED 09/20/12 ENTERED 09/20/12 11:38:53 Page 22 of 24

AUTHOR	City of Barbe	<u>In re Melinda</u> In re Clarence A
RECIPIENT(S)	City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log	In re Melinda Louise Elkins, vase No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio) In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)
DESCRIPTION	luct Documents Log	317 (USBC ND Ohio) 69543 (USBC ND Ohio)
REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION		. (

DATE

# Q	DALE				NON- PRODUCTION
			Tradi M Darlin MD 2-D	Email recording rish	Attorney-client
251	1102/101/201			payment for Dr. Kuper.	privilege/work product
PN PN	03/17/2011	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R	Richard Studenic, Wichert Insurance	Email transmitting Executed Release.	Attorney work product
160	09/20/2011	Atty. Brian L. Wildermuth, Selective Ins.	Carl. E. Cormany, MR&R	Email requesting settlement agreement/release	Attorney work product
161	11/15/2011	Karen Adinolfi, Esq.	Todd M. Raskin, MR&R/Lisa Miller, City of Barberton	Email regarding subpoena received by the City of Barberton	Attorney-client privilege/work
4				Barberton	product

<u>S</u> 201 6

	•			
4 C				
			· ·	

1

{ .

18 | Page

<u>In re Melinda Louise Elkins, Case No. 05-65317 (USBC ND Ohio)</u> <u>In re Clarence Arnold Elkins, II, Case No. 05-69543 (USBC ND Ohio)</u>

(___)

City of Barberton's Privilege or Work-Product Documents Log B

164	163	5	# ×
09/17/2010	09/16/2010	08/19/2010	DATE
Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	Roetzel & Andress	Todd M. Raskin, Esq., MR&R	AUTHOR
Scottsdale Ins. Co., RLI, Selective & JWF Specialty	Blair Libby, Swartz & Raskin	Blair Libby	RECIPIENT(S)
Letter regarding settlement demand	Letter regarding status of case and settlement	Letter regarding status of case	DESCRIPTION
Attorney-client privilege/attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/attorney work product	Attorney-client privilege/attorney work product	REASON FOR NON- PRODUCTION

work product