

The court incorporates by reference in this paragraph and adopts as the findings and orders of this court the document set forth below.



**/S/ RUSS KENDIG**

**Russ Kendig**  
**United States Bankruptcy Judge**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

IN RE:	)	CHAPTER 7
	)	
JOHN ROBERT MITCHELL, IV,	)	CASE NO. 09-63500
	)	
Debtor.	)	JUDGE RUSS KENDIG
	)	
	)	
	)	<b>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</b>
	)	<b>(NOT INTENDED FOR</b>
	)	<b>PUBLICATION)</b>

This case is before the court on *sua sponte*. Debtor John Robert Mitchell, IV (hereafter "Debtor") filed a chapter 7 petition on August 26, 2009. Debtor did not file a certificate demonstrating compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 109. Instead, he checked box three on Official Form 1, Exhibit D and indicated he requested, but was unable to obtain, credit counseling and exigent circumstances warranted exemption from the pre-filing requirement. Debtor described the exigent circumstances: "I was unable to find a credit counselor that would waive their (sic) fee. I presently have no income or money."

The court has jurisdiction of this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and the general order of reference entered in this district on July 16, 1984. Venue in this district and division is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409. The following constitutes the court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7052.

This opinion is not intended for publication or citation. The availability of this opinion, in electronic or printed form, is not the result of a direct submission by the court.

The Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act (hereafter "BAPCPA") enacted in 2005 mandated that debtors obtain counseling prior to filing

bankruptcy. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 109(h). There are limited exceptions to the requirement. Under 11 U.S.C. § 109(h)(2)(A), the requirement does not apply in districts where a designated official determines that adequate counseling services are not available; this exception is not applicable in this district. The other exception is found in section 109(h)(3), which provides, in relevant part, that a prefiling credit counseling certificate is not required:

with respect to a debtor who submits to the court a certification that--

- (i) describes exigent circumstances that merit a waiver of the requirements of paragraph (1);
- (ii) states that the debtor requested credit counseling services from an approved nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency, but was unable to obtain the services referred to in paragraph (1) during the 5-day period beginning on the date on which the debtor made the request; and
- (iii) is satisfactory to the court.

All three prongs must be satisfied to be excused from the credit counseling requirement. *See In re Mitrano*, 2009 WL 2392030 (E.D. Va. 2009) (reporter citation not yet available) (citing *Clippard v. Bass*, 365 B.R. 131, 135 (W.D. Tenn. 2007) (other citations omitted)).

Upon review of Exhibit D and Debtor's motion for an exemption from the credit counseling the requirement, the Court cannot grant Debtor's request. First, Debtor does not reference specific attempts to obtain the credit counseling in accordance with section 109(h)(3)(ii). Debtor does not identify the agencies contacted or the dates of contact. Debtor bears the burden of proof of the entitlement to an "exigent circumstances" exemption, *see In re Graham*, 336 B.R. 292 (Bankr. W.D. Ky. 2005), and he failed to meet his burden.

Second, financial hardship is not an "exigent circumstance." *See In re Piontek*, 346 B.R. 126 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 2006); *In re Palacios*, 2008 WL 700968 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 2008) (unpublished); *In re Curington*, 2005 WL 3752229 (Bankr. E.D. Tenn. 2005) (unpublished). As set forth in both *Palacios* and *Piontek* opinions, the bankruptcy code requires that credit counseling agencies provide the counseling service "without regard to ability to pay the fee." 11 U.S.C. § 111(c)(2)(B). Consequently, *all* approved credit counseling agencies should provide services regardless of a debtor's ability to pay. The Court is not satisfied that Debtor's circumstances merit exemption from the prefiling requirement.

In the absence of the prefiling credit counseling certificate, Debtor is not eligible to be a debtor. 11 U.S.C. § 109(h)(1). Debtor's case will therefore be dismissed.

An order in accordance with this decision shall be entered forthwith.

# # #

**Service List:**

John Robert Mitchell, IV  
137 17th St NW  
#1  
Canton, OH 44703

Michael V Demczyk  
PO Box 867  
12370 Cleveland Ave NW  
Uniontown, OH 44685

Office of the United States Trustee  
201 Superior Ave., East  
Suite 441  
Cleveland, OH 44114